

Fraser Salmon & Watersheds Program



2010/11 FINAL REPORT

FSWP File Number*	Fswp 10xx62ee
--------------------------	---------------

* Please use the FSWP File Number provided in previous FSWP project correspondence.

1. Project Information

1.1. Project Title

Empowering the people for salmon Stewardship

1.2. Proponent's Legal Name

In-SHUCK-ch Service Society

1.3. Project Location

In-SHUCK-ch Traditional territory

1.4. Contact for this report

Name: Sylvester Sam

Phone:604 820-6873

Email:sylvester.sam@inshuckch.com

1.5 Funding Amount

Original Approved Grant Amount:	Total FSWP Expenditures:	Final Invoice Amount:	Final Non-FSWP leveraging, including cash and in-kind:
60,000	60,000	74,252	13,600

2. Project Summary

Please provide a single paragraph describing your project, its objectives, and the results. As this summary may be used in program communications, clearly state the issue(s) that were addressed and avoid overly technical descriptions. Maximum 300 words.

We were to develop streamkeepers program and integrate with traditional methods to sustain our primary focus, the fish,

OPTIONAL: Please give a short statement (up to 100 words) of the most compelling activity or outcome from your project.

The communities feel the creeks in the area were not maintained and our methods and standards were given no consideration, outsiders did not respect our stewardship, we need fish to sustain our lives ;other were just here for the sport. The priority should have always been food before recreation.

3. Final Project Results and Effectiveness

3.1 Please copy THE EXPECTED DELIVERABLES from your detailed proposal and insert into this table. Add additional rows as needed. Then describe the FINAL DELIVERABLES (the tangible end products resulting from this work) associated with each expected Deliverable.

If FINAL DELIVERABLES differ from the original EXPECTED DELIVERABLES, please describe why, and the implications for the project.

EXPECTED DELIVERABLES	FINAL DELIVERABLES
1.get youth interested and involved	HLS hoping to continue relationship
2.improve fish camp for elder and youth	Interest by both parties to involve more
3.develop policies and be able to enforce them	Talking with Creekside to develop our own standards
4.Streamkeepers program	Five people took course, was abbreviated to suit us

3.2 Please evaluate the EFFECTIVENESS of your project in achieving Project Objectives. Identify the indicators you have used to measure the effectiveness of your project. Please include any notable successes or challenges.

The schools are interested in continuing our work during school, members are taking in interest in local creeks and willing to volunteer their time to improve creeks or adopt them. Creekside has expressed interest in developing a working relationship more than just reporting what is caught. Stream keepers wish to continue their training if any new programs occur. DFO and sportsman are speaking of working with us as allies instead of competition. Community members want to send urban youth to fish camp and are willing to volunteer their knowledge as passed on to them.

3.4 IF applicable, please describe how your project has achieved one or more of the following supported processes (Section 2.2 of RFP; section 7 of detailed proposal template). If results differ from those originally anticipated, please describe.

Engagement of First Nations. Please specify who, and in what capacity.	IN-SHUCK-ch communities, membership and neighbours
Active partnerships with one or more organizations.	The community offices have spoke of their support, Creekside Resources Inc will help develop initiatives
Engagement and participation of diverse and under-represented groups.	The community do not see fisheries officers ,sports fisherman expressed a desire to be able to assist
Relationship building, as a foundation for sustainable, enduring activities.	Creekside Resources .communities taking initiatives to adopt creeks ,local fisherman willing to come and volunteer with efforts
Capacity building, including mentorship models, leadership training and skills development.	Will be working on policies ourselves as we know what is needed
Recognition and support of champions and their initiatives.	Wayne Smith has been working on sustaining our food source fish for years, he now has a platform to support his efforts, other communities also have members who volunteer their time
Opportunities to influence policy and decision making,	Writing policies on who and how we will fish in our creeks and rivers
3.5 Please describe how the benefits of this project will be sustained and/or be built upon into the future. What are the planned next steps, or recommendations for further work, if applicable?	
3.6. What are the top three lessons learned from this project that could be useful to communicate to others doing similar work in the Basin?	
1.there is interest in fish and sustaining it by our standards 2.there are many different species in our area, all needed our support 3.Fisheries entities are not at cross purposes	
REQUIRED: Attach all DOCUMENTATION of Final Deliverables, and LIST attachments in Section 7. These may include technical reports, maps, photos, evidence of communications, lists of meeting participants, etc.	

4. Outreach and Communications

Please describe how you have communicated project activities and results within local and basin-wide communities, across organizations and/or to decision makers.

Please list and attach copies of (or links to) any communications materials from these efforts that you have not previously submitted.

Wayne went to communities and took youth out to show where and how we fish, Cooper did the same at HLS,.pictures to be included. The Pemberton Wildlife association hope to develop an ongoing relationship with us for all our benefit. Band offices are aware of our efforts and will help us search for funding sources to assist.

Fraser Salmon & Watersheds Program



5. Project Expenditures

In Part A, please list all line items from your original proposal, and add any additional line items for costs that were not originally budgeted. Please include more specific descriptions of services or items where possible, (e.g. the name of the company or individual contracted), and actual rates, unit costs, and total expenditures. In Part B, report the original amount budgeted per line item from the detailed proposal, and the actual FSWP and non-FSWP amounts spent. Please NOTE that FSWP does not expect actual expenditures to necessarily align with the original budget.

FSWP budget proposal	60,000	actual	48,000		
Inkind	13,600		13,600		
TOTAL	73,600		61,600	VARIANCE	12,000
Management:					
Project manager budget:	10000	actual	8000		2000
Staff	3600		4000	difference	-400
Travel	0		2000		-2000
Professional	60,000		60,081		-81
Supplies	0		20		-20
Meetings	0		150		-150
TOTAL	73,600		74,252		-652
SURPLUS/DEFICIT					-12,652

5.1 If you have had any significant differences in spending in comparison to your original budget, please provide an explanation. Significant differences could include costs that exceed 20% of a line item or budget category (labour, materials, administration), and new items or services that were not originally budgeted, exceeding 10% of total FSWP contribution.

5.2 Please describe all non-FSWP project contributions, cash and in-kind. ATTACH letters of confirmation for non-FSWP contribution sources (cash and/or in-kind).

Non-FSWP Contribution Sources	Letter of Confirmation Attached (Y/N)	Cash (\$)	In-Kind (\$)	Total (\$)

6. Additional Comments

OPTIONAL: Provide any additional comments or recommendations for future efforts and suggestions for helping partners to meet the goals of the Fraser Salmon and Watersheds Program.



7. DECLARATION

Please complete the following declaration:

I, Sylvester Sam, hereby declare that:

- 1) The information provided in this report, including all attachments is accurate to the best of my knowledge and that I am authorized to sign on behalf of the stated proponent organization;
- 2) The information contained in the above financial statement submitted by us to PSF, is accurate in all material respects and is net of any GST Input Tax Credit received or receivable by us and that the funds were used exclusively for the project as originally proposed or as formally amended by PSF;
- 3) **Any funds previously paid to the Proponent by the Foundation have been used to fund project expenditures approved by the Foundation and in full compliance with the Regulation on the Use of PSF Grant Funds and Reporting Procedures set out in the Application for Funding submitted by the Proponent to the Foundation;**
- 4) **The balance of any funds previously paid to the Proponent which were not used as set out in item 3 have been returned to the Foundation;**
- 5) **Any additional funds paid to the Proponent by the Foundation will be used in this manner.**

Signature: signature to follow

Date: April 15,2011

(Authorized Signatory)

Name: _____ Sylvester Sam _____

(Print Name)