

Fraser Salmon & Watersheds Program



2011/12 FINAL REPORT

FSWP File Number* FSWP 11 5 XX PG

* Please use the FSWP File Number provided in previous FSWP project correspondence.

1. Project Information

1.1. Project Title

Horsefly Watershed Planning and Capacity Building

1.2. Proponent's Legal Name

Horsefly River Roundtable/ Horsefly District Board of Trade

1.3. Project Location

Horsefly Watershed/Sub Basin Quesnel River Watershed

1.4. Contact for this report

Name: Linda Bartsch

Phone (250) 620-3440

Email: lbartsch@gmail.com

1.5 Funding Amount

Original Approved Grant Amount:	Total FSWP Expenditures:	Final Invoice Amount:	Final Non-FSWP leveraging, including cash and in-kind:
\$18,000	\$18,000	\$5,400	\$42,440

2. Project Summary

Please provide a single paragraph describing your project, its objectives, and the results. As this summary may be used in program communications, clearly state the issue(s) that were addressed and avoid overly technical descriptions. Maximum 300 words.

To continue with watershed governance development, capacity building within the community and priority setting within the watershed.

The Roundtable continued to work with "watershed experts" to increase the local knowledge of Horsefly Watershed Issues. This was done through presentations, invitations to roundtable meetings, the yearly Salmon Festival and email distribution lists.

The Roundtable has been willing and able to participate in regional planning initiatives such as the Fisheries Sensitive Designation Process and the Lakeshore Development Regulation Process. The technical committee has worked directly with Key personnel with these processes and has kept the Roundtable updated and ensured the interest to the government in local input into these processes. The Fisheries Sensitive Designation unfortunately is still in the process of government review and will be released this spring for the Roundtables participation.

The technical committee has prioritized some on the ground watershed work and has provided three prescription overview due to a great donation of time and skills of local restoration consultants.

OPTIONAL: Please give a short statement (up to 100 words) of the most compelling activity or outcome from your project.

The work that the technical committee has done to prioritize watershed work and the subsequent prescription development. The watershed is very large and is facing many challenges that the job of trying to prioritize where field work could be done was a daunting task. Local consultants volunteered a tremendous amount of time to do on the ground review of where our efforts would make the most difference. This was crowded into a short season due to weather patterns and they are to be commended for their contribution to this process.

3. Final Project Results and Effectiveness

3.1 Please copy THE EXPECTED DELIVERABLES from your detailed proposal and insert into this table. Add additional rows as needed. Then describe the FINAL DELIVERABLES (the tangible end products resulting from this work) associated with each expected Deliverable.

If FINAL DELIVERABLES differ from the original EXPECTED DELIVERABLES, please describe why, and the implications for the project.

EXPECTED DELIVERABLES	FINAL DELIVERABLES
<p>1. To continue delivering salmon education and awareness activities through, presentations, salmon festivals, promotion of the salmon habitat walk, school programs, youth group programs and signage.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completed Horsefly Salmon Festival on September 3 & 4 with over 600 participants. <p>Activities included: Fish Dissection, Fish Printing, Riverside interpreters, Fish Crafts, Interpretive Walk, Family Square dancing, dance workshop</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 school presentation • 1 Salmon Interpretive sign • 3 completed salmon celebration signs • Still waiting on digital Salmon Habitat Signs from Adam River that can be modified
<p>2. To actively engage partners in the fisheries sensitive designation process of the Horsefly Watershed. It is important that the Roundtable</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One presentation from local gold mining operation • Participation in First Nations Fisheries

<p>receives outside funds for being involved in all land use planning, including fisheries sensitive designation, so that members can be free to provide unbiased input.</p>	<p>Meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fisheries Sensitive Designation has been delayed within government review and not officially released to the public. The Roundtable has continued to discuss updates to key personnel in an unofficial capacity and the anticipated release is this Spring. • Presentation on emergency communications • Presentation on FRISP opportunities in the Horsefly Watershed • Presentation on Update on Small Mouth Bass in the Quesnel River Watershed • Discussion and funding sought for Salmon Trail at Spawning Channel. • Updates and starting discussion on supporting the Lakeshore Sensitive Habitat Mapping of Sockeye spawning and rearing areas.
<p>3. To develop a specific restoration priority list within either the Woodjam or Moffat watersheds.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completed helicopter overview flight looking at Agricultural/Riparian Interface areas and prioritizing “vulnerable” areas • Reviewed map and report • Developed prioritized list of field activities • 6 prescription developments - 5 of which were donated
<p>4.</p>	
<p>3.2 Please evaluate the EFFECTIVENESS of your project in achieving Project Objectives, using the specific measures of success identified in your proposal. Please include any notable successes or challenges.</p>	
<p>The Roundtable has met all the objectives that they have laid out except participation in the Fisheries Sensitive Designation through the Provincial Government which the release date has been delayed numerous times.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7 presentations to the roundtable • Successful Salmon Festival with 600 participants • 4 signs completed and another 2 in progress • 1 school program delivered • 7 people from the Technical committee have been involved in Fisheries Sensitive Designation – approximately 15 people will be involved in this planning process once it is released. • 5-10 people will be involved in Sensitive Habitat Mapping –Lake shore <p>Two main challenges: Delay in FSS process and field work prioritization and prescription development</p> <p>The Roundtable has completed 5 has gone well due to volunteer commitment of two local consultants and roundtable members. It was a challenge due to the multitude of variables, partners, geographic area and impacts.</p>	

3.4 If applicable, please describe project outcomes that relate to one or more of the following strategic approaches (Section 2.1 of RFP; section 8 of detailed proposal template), and include specific examples.	
Engagement of First Nations. Please specify who, and in what capacity.	Soda Creek and Northern Shushwap Tribal Council are members of the Roundtable and the Technical Committee and may look into looking for funds for some of the prescriptions developed. We are working with Xatsul for planning the 2012 Salmon Festival. Local First Nations participated in the Salmon Festival
Active partnerships with one or more organizations.	Prescription Development required many hours of working with DFO, MOE, landowners, The Land Conservancy and Private industry.
Engagement and participation of diverse and under-represented groups.	The Roundtable has developed the Salmon Habitat Trails and Signage to be wheelchair accessible.
Relationship building, as a foundation for sustainable, enduring activities.	The roundtable and technical committee are continuing to work on prescription implementation, FSD, and yearly salmon festivals.
Capacity building, including mentorship models, leadership training and skills development.	7 presentations were heard by roundtable and community that provided relevant information that helped in local decision making. There were 4 stations at the Salmon Festival (invertebrates, watershed walks, fish imprinting, fish dissection – 3 roundtable members took the training to teach the sessions.
Recognition and support of champions and their initiatives.	NA
Opportunities to influence policy and decision making,	The Roundtable and Technical committee are being consulted by Regional , provincial and federal government on an as needed basis as issues come up. Such as an issue on Beaver Valley Road where flooding has road closures and the roundtable has been consulted and

will likely work on some community engagement and prescription development.

3.5 Please describe how the benefits of this project will be sustained and/or be built upon into the future. What are the planned next steps, or recommendations for further work, if applicable?

The prescriptions developed will be implemented in the future. Salmon Festivals will continue yearly. There will be participation in the Fisheries Sensitive Designation once publically released. The Roundtable and technical committee will continue to be participate in the Lakeshore sensitive habitat mapping and any other processes.

3.6. What are the top three lessons learned from this project that could be useful to communicate to others doing similar work in the Basin?

- 1. Partnerships are great but they are also out of your control and you must rely on their timing.**
- 2. It is important to include the value of community engagement in prescription development.**
- 3. The Salmon Festival gets buy in from the community that would not otherwise be involved ie. Business community due to the increased traffic into the community.**

3.7 REQUIRED: Attach all DOCUMENTATION of Final Deliverables, and LIST attachments in Section 8. These may include technical reports, maps, photos, evidence of communications, lists of meeting participants, etc.

4. Outreach and Communications

Please describe how you have communicated project activities and results within local and basin-wide communities, across organizations and/or to decision makers.

Please list and attach copies of (or links to) any communications materials from these efforts that you have not previously submitted.

DFO, CRD and MOE are actively involved in our technical committee and Roundtable.

5. Project Expenditures

In Part A, please list all line items from your original proposal, and add any additional line items for costs that were not originally budgeted. Please include more specific descriptions of services or items where possible, (e.g. the name of the company or individual contracted), and actual rates, unit costs, and total expenditures. In Part B, report the original amount budgeted per line item from the detailed proposal, and the actual FSWP and non-FSWP amounts spent. Please NOTE that FSWP does not expect actual expenditures to necessarily align with the original budget.

Part A: Actual units, rates, costs per unit, and total project expenditures to date					Part B: Original FSWP budget and breakout of actual project expenditures			
Labour								
Service	# of People	Daily Rate	Total # of Days	Total Project Expenditures	Original FSWP Budget (from detailed proposal)	Total FSWP Expenditures	Total Non FSWP Contribution	
							Cash	In-Kind
Coordination of Education Engagement	1	30	80	7,200.00	7,200.00	7,200.00		
Roundtable Members Involvement in Education, Awareness, Priority Setting, Planning Processes	8	15	8.5	5,040.00				5,040.00
Technical Committee Members involvement in Priority Setting and Research Collation	6	40	10	7,200.00				7,200.00
Coordination of Technical Committee	1	40	17	4,000.00				4,000.00
Priority Prescription Development	2	72	13.8	9,000.00	9,000.00	9,000.00		18,000.00
Labour Sub-Total				32,440.00	16,200.00	16,200.00	0.00	34,240.00
Materials, Supplies & Equipment								
Item	# of Units	Unit Cost	Total Project Expenditures		Original FSWP Budget (from detailed proposal)	Total FSWP Expenditures	Total Non FSWP Contribution	
							Cash	In-Kind
Signage	1	6,000	6,000.00				6,000.00	
Phone and Conference Calling			2,000.00				2,000.00	
Materials, Supplies & Equipment Sub-Total				8,000.00	0.00	0.00	8,000.00	0.00
Administration & Overhead								
Item	# of Units	Unit Cost	Total Project Expenditures		Original FSWP Budget (from detailed proposal)	Total FSWP Expenditures	Total Non FSWP Contribution	
							Cash	In-Kind
Horseshy River Roundtable - Horseshy District Board of Trade Phone, photocopy, office			1,800.00		1,800.00	1,800.00		
			-					
Administration Sub-Total				1,800.00	1,800.00	1,800.00	0.00	0.00
Administration & Overhead Sub-Total				1,800.00	1,800.00	1,800.00	0.00	0.00
FUNDING SUMMARY - DO NOT FILL - FSWP STAFF USE ONLY								
Total Originally Budgeted FSWP Contributi					18,000.00			
Total Project Expenditures					60,240.00			
Total FSWP Expenditures					18,000.00			
Total Non FSWP Contributions					42,240.00			

5.1 If you have had any significant differences in spending in comparison to your original budget, please provide an explanation. Significant differences could include costs that exceed 20% of a line item or budget category (labour, materials, administration), and new items or services that were not originally budgeted, exceeding 10% of total FSWP contribution.

5.2 Please describe all non-FSWP project contributions, cash and in-kind. ATTACH letters of confirmation for non-FSWP contribution sources (cash and/or in-kind).

Non-FSWP Contribution Sources	Letter of Confirmation Attached (Y/N)	Cash (\$)	In-Kind (\$)	Total (\$)
DFO	N	2,000 – conference calling		
CRD	N	6,000 - sign		
The Land Conservancy Consultants	On file N		4,000 9,000	

6. Additional Comments

OPTIONAL: Provide any additional comments or recommendations for future efforts and suggestions for helping partners to meet the goals of the Fraser Salmon and Watersheds Program.

7. DECLARATION

Please complete the following declaration:

I, _____ Tracy Bond _____, hereby declare that:

- 1) The information provided in this report, including all attachments is accurate to the best of my knowledge and that I am authorized to sign on behalf of the stated proponent organization;
- 2) The information contained in the above financial statement submitted by us to PSF, is accurate in all material respects and is net of any GST Input Tax Credit received or receivable by us and that the funds were used exclusively for the project as originally proposed or as formally amended by PSF;
- 3) **Any funds previously paid to the Proponent by the Foundation have been used to fund project expenditures approved by the Foundation and in full compliance with the Regulation on the Use of PSF Grant Funds and Reporting Procedures set out in the Application for Funding submitted by the Proponent to the Foundation;**
- 4) **The balance of any funds previously paid to the Proponent which were not used as set out in item 3 have been returned to the Foundation;**
- 5) **Any additional funds paid to the Proponent by the Foundation will be used in this manner.**

Signature: _____

Date: March 14, 2012

(Authorized Signatory)

Name: _____

(Print Name)

8. APPENDICES

LIST all REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION here, and attach at the end of this report. These include:

1. Documentation of FINAL RESULTS. These may include technical reports, maps, photos, lists of meeting participants, etc. (Section 3).
2. Communications and Outreach materials, if applicable (Section 4)
3. Letters of Confirmation for non-FSWP contributions (Section 5.2)

1. Prescriptions attached by email.

2.

3.

4.

5.